


DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



William E Gray
3672 East US 50
Seymour, IN 47274

November 12, 2002

FCC
445 12th Street
Washington, DC 20554


6277

I do support the consumers rights in reference to the 'do *not* call list.' I wish to provide my position in regard to the proposed rule change for a national do not call list.

I believe preemption is necessary to support the establishment of one set of rules and guidelines to follow. With the adoption of one set of rules the consumers and businesses can all do their part in adhering to the intent of the guidelines in whatever state they reside and do business.

I believe preemption is necessary to ensure there is no confusion from differences from state to state.

Thank you,


William E Gray


Confirmed

DEC 12 2002

Distribution Center



1324 Sunnymede Avenue
South Bend, IN 46615
November 17, 2002



Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to express my concern about the FCC proceeding 02-278. The state of Indiana has implemented a WONDERFUL "no-call" list. As soon as I registered my name and phone number on that list, telemarketing calls made to my home ceased completely. It has been wonderful to be able to enjoy my privacy at home without being interrupted repeatedly by telemarketers.

Please do not interfere with Indiana's "no-call" law. It is working very well in this state.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Carol A. Hanson".

Carol A. Hanson

Confirmed
DEC 12 2002
Distribution Center

No. of Copies rec'd
11/13/02

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 10 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

2739 Eller Lane-App.A
Mishawaka, Ind. 46504
November 19, 2002

F.C.C.
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

02-278

Dear Sir/Ms:

I am writing in response to an article in the newspaper stating that you will be reviewing our State's "no-call" policy for telemarketers.

I would request that you not do anything which would not permit us to keep the "no-call" list.

I have been on the "no-call" list since July 1, 2001 and the relief from receiving these calls has been tremendous. We were receiving as many as 8 calls in one day (not including the hang-ups). I would estimate that we averaged 3 calls every day, some of them at very inconvenient times.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joe T. Wiley
Theresa G. Wiley
Joe T. Wiley
Theresa G. Wiley

Confirmed

DEC 12 2002

Distribution Center

[Signature]

RECEIVED & REGISTERED

DEC 10 2002

Edge M Miller
344 N Santa Cruz Ave
Modesto CA 95354

11-21-2002

FCC - MAILROOM

Docket # 02-278 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I would be glad to be on a
national do not call list.

Telemarketing is not appreciated
by me and a lot of my friends.

It wastes my time and
invades my privacy. I feel
my phone should be for my
use, not for every one
who has something to sell.

Edge M. Miller
344 N. Santa Cruz Ave.
Modesto, Ca. 95354

(209) 509088

DEC 12 2002

OT /
Distribution Center

11/21/02

7.00
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
DEC 10 2002

Dear Sir:
Re: 02-278

Please do not do
any thing to change the
"Telephone Privacy" bill
in the State of Indiana.

I do not want all the
stupid Telephone calls
coming in again

071

Sincerely,

Confirmed

Lucille W. Ryan
1116 Vassar Ave
South Bend In
46616

DEC 12 2002

Distribution Center



RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 10 2002

1344 Sunnyside Ave.
South Bend, IN 46615-1016
November 23, 2002

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th St. SW

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 12 2002

Re: Telephone Privacy Law 02-278 Distribution Center


Dear Sirs,

The no-call option has been a huge
and appreciated success in Indiana.
I am writing protest of the Commission
doing away with it.

The Commission should be taking
away the citizen of Indiana, the right
to privacy and the invasion of that
privacy. Please consider what this
means to our Indiana citizen, the
relief felt by them - Do not change the
policy. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Leta J Seal
(Mrs. Charles J. Seal)

01'



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Nov. 18, 2002

209 Wakewa
South Bend, IN 46617

FCC
445 12th St, SW
Washington, DC
20554

RE: FCC proceeding 02-278


To FCC:

A strong plea to keep intact or even strengthen the Indiana no-call list, put into effect by Attorney General Steve Carter. No public act of recent memory has so effectively improved the quality of life of this elderly South Bend couple. Please! If any part of your mandate asks you to Serve the public please do so by defying the commercial interests that want to invade our homes with unwanted business calls. Please.

Please,

Donald P. Costello
Age 71 and a voter.

12/18/02 01
12/18/02



Secretary
Federal Communications
Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Docket number - CB Docket No. 02-278

I am in favor of having a
government do not call as well as
a do-not mail list.

Once added to the list telemarketers
would not be able to call or write
to people who do not want to
receive unwanted calls and mail
from advertisers and telemarketers.

Thank you,
Ariene Tiffin

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CG DOCKET NO. 02-278

TELEMARKETING

ESTABLISH A NATIONAL DO-NOT-CALL LIST

PROHIBIT AUTODIALING

PROHIBIT RECORDED SALES PITCHES

SHORTEN HOURS SOLICITATION IS ALLOWED
12 NOON TO 8 PM ONLY

ALL SOLICITATION ONLY ON WEEKDAYS

PROHIBIT MORE THAN ONE CALL TO EACH
NUMBER BY THE SAME COMPANY


INCLUDE CHARITIES AND POLITICIANS
IN ALL RULES

CG
DEC 18 2001
Docket 02-278

MR. & MRS. W. HEYLER
14655 RIDGE AVE.
ORLAND PARK
IL 60462

DO NOT WRITE
IN THESE

041



Confirmed

DEC 12 2002

Distribution Center

515 E. Marshall Apt 1009
 South Bend, IN 46601
 Dec 2, 2002

Dear Reader,

Regarding proceeding 02-278 the telephone privacy law.

Many of us here in Indiana have enjoyed the respite of unwanted phone calls. Our No-call list has been very successful. I would appreciate a reply as to why your agency is trying to void our attempt to get some sanity back into our lives.

I can name many incidences of being disturbed at inopportune times. Care givers who have full time care of a loved one and doing many nursing care procedures cannot "drop everything" to answer a phone, another - changing a squirming infant for a diaper change - cleaning up spills - getting meals prepared to put on the table - not to mention getting it on the table - rounding up the family - only to be called during the grace or while eating. Did I turn the phone off - forgetting to turn it on - and later the police come to find out of an alarm - my family worried because I wasn't answering my phone. Well - I think you get the picture. And may I mention - the morning and afternoon "rings" you answer and no one is there - I've heard alot of complaints from others about this. What is being done to stop these solicitors that apparently get a customer contact on their tally sheets for doing this practice with company approval?

I don't often write letters to sound off, but I sincerely hope someone listens to me this time.

Sincerely,

Pauline Neighbors